

minutes

Elected Members Briefing
Session



To: His Worship the Mayor and Councillors

Please be advised that an Elected Members Briefing Session commenced at **6.30pm** on **Tuesday 5 December 2017** in the **Council Chambers**, Administration Centre at 99 Shepperton Road, Victoria Park.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "A Vuleta".

MR ANTHONY VULETA
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

8 December 2017

1 OPENING

Mayor Vaughan opened the meeting at 6:30pm.

2 ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER

2.1 Recording of Proceedings

In accordance with clause 5.14 of the Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011, as the Presiding Member, I hereby give my permission for only the Administration to record proceedings of this meeting.

2.2 Public Question & Public Statement Time

There are guidelines that need to be adhered to in our Council meetings and during question and statement time people speaking are not to personalise any questions, or statements about Elected Members, or staff or use any possible defamatory remarks.

2.3 No Adverse Reflection

Both Elected Members and the public when speaking are not to reflect adversely on the character or actions of Elected Members or employees.

2.4 Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011

All meetings of the Council, committees and the electors are to be conducted in accordance with the Act, the Regulations and the *Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011*.

2.5 Members to Remain Seated

The previous Standing Orders Local Law required members to stand when speaking. This Local Law was repealed and there is no provision in the current Standing Orders Local Law requiring members to stand. The practice of standing to speak has however continued which is no longer required. Members are now to remain seated when speaking at a council or committee meeting.

2.6 Additional Comments

Mayor Vaughan congratulated Cr Oliver on his appointment as a representative on State Council of WALGA and it is the first time that the Town of Victoria have had a member elected.

3 ATTENDANCE

Mayor:	Mr T (Trevor) Vaughan
Banksia Ward:	Cr C (Claire) Anderson Cr J (Julian) Jacobs Cr R (Ronhda) Potter Cr K (Karen) Vernon
Jarrah Ward:	Cr J (Jennifer) Ammons Noble Cr B (Bronwyn) Ife Cr B (Brian) Oliver Cr V (Vicki) Potter (Deputy Mayor)
Chief Executive Officer:	Mr A (Anthony) Vuleta
Director Future Life & Built Life:	Ms R (Rochelle) Lavery
Chief Operations Officer:	Mr B (Ben) Killigrew
Director Business Life:	Mr N (Nathan) Cain
Manager Development Services	Mr R (Robert) Cruickshank
Senior Governance Officer:	Ms T (Towela) Mbirimi
Secretary:	Mrs A (Alison) Podmore
Public:	7

3.1 Apologies

3.2 Approved Leave of Absence

4 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Declarations of interest are to be made in writing prior to the commencement of the Meeting, (a form to assist Elected Members and Staff is attached at the end of this Agenda).

Declaration of Financial Interests

Nil

Declaration of Proximity Interest

Nil

Declaration of Interest affecting impartiality

5 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

5.1 Responses to Questions Raised and Taken on Notice at the Elected Member Briefing Session held on Tuesday 7 November 2017

Sam Zammit

- Q. Would the Town consider not having dogs off leads in unfenced areas?
- R. The Town would not consider enforcing a dog on lead policy in unfenced areas. The vast majority of the Town's beautiful parks/reserves are unfenced, some are deemed on lead and some off lead. This legislation is in place to deal with any dog owner who does not have their dog under effective control, however, the vast majority of dog owners within the Town are responsible, those that are not are dealt with accordingly. Visiting parks/reserves with dogs is a great way to build community. In addition, it is important that dogs get a chance to exercise, play, interact and socialise. This is best done off lead. The Town's Rangers patrol the parks/reserves daily. Generally, dog owners are very quick to contact the Town's Rangers if there is a dog causing a problem in a particular location. As such, I do not find it necessary to change the policy that is currently in place.

Vince Maxwell

- Q. With regards to parking in Albany Highway and the cash-in-lieu of businesses provided parking space; how much has been collected over the years from businesses that have paid cash-in-lieu for provided parking, how many bays has the Town constructed and where does the money go, as there is no reserve fund for these accounts?
- R. The Town's records indicate that in 2011 Council received cash-in-lieu payments towards additional parking areas in Planet Street, Carlisle (\$28,000 GST inclusive) which was used as part of the construction of four bays, and Westminster Street, East Victoria Park (\$17,000 GST inclusive), which was used as part of the covering of the Westminster Street Sump, resulting in twenty bays, plus an ACROD bay. In 2012 the Town received cash-in-lieu payments of \$22,000 (GST inclusive) in Kent Street, Victoria Park which was used as part of the construction of 14 new on-street parking bays. It is considered good practice to have a Reserve Fund established to hold cash-in-lieu payments separate to general funds. Given that the Town is likely to have a greater need for the separation of these funds in the foreseeable future, it will be recommended to Council to create such a Reserve Fund.
- Q. In the Schedule of Accounts, regarding the security incentive scheme, there were three (3) payments totalling \$550, the maximum per resident each financial year is \$200, or \$250 with a concession card; why has this person been paid more than they are entitled to?
- R. This person made application for the Security Incentive Scheme, and was paid \$200 towards this. They later provided details of a pensioner concession and was provided with an additional payment of \$50. The total is therefore in line with the Security Incentive Scheme provisions. The additional payment of \$300 to this person is a donation for their daughter paid in accordance with Council's Donations Policy. The entry in the Schedule of Accounts incorrectly states this payment as being for the Security Incentive Scheme. This will be corrected prior to adoption by Council.

5.2 Responses to Questions Raised at the Elected Members Briefing Session held Tuesday 5 December 2017

Sam Zammit

1. With regards to the intersection of Swansea St and Oats Street; could we seriously review that intersection again, as it has been a number of years since we met with the engineering staff of Main Roads? The Town engineers agree that the modifications are wrong; can I be involved again?
R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew advised he will look into it and advise Mr Zammit accordingly.
2. Have there been some tram tracks dug up from down near the Causeway? If so, can they be pulled out and restore them and have them as appreciation of our history or use them as art?
R. The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta said he wasn't aware of it, but if so, yes.

Vince Maxwell

1. Do you intend to stick with the Long Term Financial Plan to slug the ratepayers of Vic Park with another 3% increase?
R. The Director Business Life Program, Mr Nathan Cain advised the plan will be discussed early in the 2018 calendar year where the Elected Members will determine the appropriate rate increase going forward.
2. Do you Mr Mayor, intend to follow the plan and increase the rates by 3%?
R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan said that as was explained by Mr Cain, it depends on the circumstances. That is the plan but we don't always have to follow the plan.
3. What part of the plan do you intend to look at changing?
R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan took the question on notice.
4. Was the Council made aware of the mistake in the accounts prior to voting on the issue at the OCM last month and are you aware Mr Mayor what the donation to his daughter was for?
R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan took the question on notice and later was informed that the Elected Members were notified.
5. Do you know what the donation was for?
R. The Director Business Life Program, Mr Nathan Cain said the donation was for part of a junior sport community donation program.
6. The event occurred in August and the donation was provided in September; why did the staff ignore the donation assessment rules and pay this donation after the event?
R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan took the question on notice.
7. Why was the payment made in his name and not his daughters?
R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan took the question on notice.

8. Are you aware of who this person is; did you know that the person who received this donation, Ms Esther Bubrik, has been an employee of the Town for the last four (4) years? Should this fact have been declared and brought to the Council's attention prior to the Council being asked to approve the payment of accounts and do you Mayor, believe this is an appropriate use of ratepayers funds, subsidising a staff member's recreational pursuits?
- R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan said he didn't have enough information to answer the question, but added, if it goes through the correct channels and done properly, then that is part of the donation policy.
9. Can the CEO provide a report detailing all of the donations, grants and other payments that are not directly linked to employment contracts that have been provided to the staff and elected members over the last two (2) financial years?
- R. The Director Business Life Program, Mr Nathan Cain took the question on notice.

6 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

Vince Maxwell

Mr Maxwell referred to and read out Regulation 13 of the *Local Government, (Administration) Regulations 1996* and commented that the Committee meeting minutes were not on the website within that 5 business day's requirement.

7 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RESOLVED:

Moved: Cr Oliver

Seconded: Cr V Potter

That the minutes of the Elected Members Briefing Session meeting held on Tuesday, 7 November 2017 be confirmed.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (9-0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Vaughan; Cr Ammons Noble; Cr Anderson; Cr Ife; Cr Jacobs; Cr Oliver; Cr R Potter; Cr V Potter; and Cr Vernon

8 PRESENTATIONS

8.1 Petitions

8.2 Presentations (Awards to be given to the Town)

8.3 Deputations (Planning / External Organisations)

6:45pm Item 11.2 – Nadia and Andrew Mandyczewsky the Applicants were in attendance to discuss this application.

9 METHOD OF DEALING WITH AGENDA BUSINESS

10 – 15 REPORTS

Section 1:

No questions were asked in relation to the following:

- Item 10.2 Finance & Audit Committee – Appointments
- Item 11.4 Final Approval of Amendment No. 77 to Town Planning Scheme No.1 relating to Service Industry Use
- Item 11.6 Request for Amendment to Town Planning Scheme No. 1 relating to Lots 9 and 9525 Victoria Park Drive, Burswood
- Item 11.7 Modifications to Local Planning Policy 32 'Minor Residential Development' to become Local Planning Policy 32 'Exemptions from Development Approval'
- Item 14.1 Schedule of Accounts for 30 October 2017
- Item 14.2 Financial Statements for the Month ending 30 October 2017
- Item 14.3 Annual Report 2016-2017

Section 2:

Council Agenda Modifications; Additional Information Sought; Questions and Responses – in relation to the following:

Item 10.1 Review of Standing Orders Local Law

Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil

Additional Information Sought:

Nil

Questions / Responses:

Cr Oliver

1. Will this review be picked up through the Finance and Audit Committee? Is there an intention that a report will go through that committee?
R. The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta said yes.

Item 11.1 No. 400-404 (Lot 4) Albany Highway, Victoria Park – Additions and Alterations to RestaurantCouncil Agenda Modifications:

Nil

Additional Information Sought:

Nil

Questions / Responses:Cr Ammons Noble

1. Can Elected Members get clarification - is that built space or usable dining space?
R The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that is actual dining space. The applicant has proposed an area of 26m², the Administration's view was it looked rather cramped with the number of seats to fit into a 26m² area, so suggested to reduce the floor area to 22m² which in turn would reduce the car parking shortfall by one (1) bay.

Cr Vernon

1. What are the proposed opening hours of the restaurant once the addition is completed?
R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank took question on notice.
2. Does the proposal include a new entry for patrons who will enter the rear dining area or will the entry continue to be via the Albany Highway entrance?
R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank said that his understanding from the plans is that there would be access from the rear as well as the potential for the existing Albany Highway access.
3. Will the increased shortfall in car bays result in the additional patrons expected at the restaurant utilising the carpark at the Victoria Park Shopping Centre?
R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank said he could only speculate as to what the impact might be and where patrons may park. The way the Administration sees this is while there is some additional dining area proposed its largely providing a convenience or enough area to existing patrons that already come to the premises. So the Administration doesn't foresee that the parking shortfall is going to place additional demand on surrounding carparking, be it at the Victoria Park Centro Shopping Centre or the nearby public parking on the street.
4. Does the Victoria Park Centro Shopping Centre car park have surveillance cameras or CCTV in operation already?
R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank took question on notice.

5. What hours does the Victoria Park Centro Shopping Centre carpark lights operate for to the end point at night?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank took question on notice.
6. If the Victoria Park Centro Shopping Centre already has surveillance cameras in operation and car park light operating beyond the close of trading hours of the shopping centre itself; in what way will a rear dining area facing the right-of-way (ROW) and the Victoria Park Centro Shopping Centre carpark assist in increasing safety or reducing anti-social behaviour?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that the proposal will result in actual surveillance; people sitting out there and activation of that space. One thing having people physically sitting looking at what's happening as opposed to cars driving past, where there focus is on driving rather than what is happening around them
7. Given that ROW 120 would not be considered the average ROW, how does this proposal create laneway activation in the way that the Town has envisaged laneway activation in other parts of Victoria Park?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank said the principle of laneway activation is having activity uses, surveillance of laneways, so this proposal still achieves the same principles.

Cr Anderson

1. With regards to the memo received, can those drawings be attached to the appendices so they are accessible to the minutes down the track?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank said that is probably something to be reviewed by the Council as part of the review. It has been the practice for some years that development plans for development applications have actually not gone out in the agenda or the appendices, they've been distributed under a separate memo for the information of the Elected Members. However, Mr Cruickshank said he is aware that some other Councils have a different approach, where they are happy to include plans in agendas and minutes. That would be a decision for Council to make as to which direction they would like to go.

Cr Vernon

1. Has a review been made with regard to the proposed vision with regards to the rear entry; will that entry be disability and access compliant?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank said yes it will need to be, there are appropriate conditions that have been requested by the Town's Building Department to ensure that is the case.

Item 11.2 25 (Lot 51) Etwell Street, East Victoria Park – Change of Use from Single House to Residential Building (Short Term Accommodation)Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil

Additional Information Sought:

Nil

Questions / Responses:Cr Iffe

1. How many of these short term accommodation facilities are there in the Town? Are we being reactive or proactive?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank said he doesn't have an answer in terms of numbers. It has been an emerging planning issue that has been happening at the Town for some time. There are certainly a lot of these short term accommodation uses that have been operating without approval and continue to do so. As and when the Town becomes aware of these issues, the Town has written to the landowner requesting that they either apply for retrospective approval or stop the use. Short of not having the exact numbers, there are a lot, but quite a few now, have been approved. With response to the emerging issue, the Administration has prepared a policy on Short Term accommodation, some three (3) or four (4) years ago, to provide some guidance as to what would be acceptable or not.

Cr Vernon

1. How many applications has Council received for a Change of Use from a single house to residential building short term accommodation where Air BNB has been a feature of the arrangement in the last three (3) years?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank took the question on notice and will provide Council with specific numbers.
2. How does this application meet the precinct plan P12 – statement of intent, which is to serve the needs of the local population?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised there are two (2) documents – the precinct plan, that was referred to about serving the needs of the local residents and then there is the more specific Local Planning Policy (LPP) 31 which talks about the short term type uses; it talks about preferred locations for such uses in the Town, particularly if they are in close proximity to public transport or tourist destinations. The application is being assessed against that and is considered that it is appropriate. There have been similar applications for short term uses approved in a number of other residential zone properties throughout the Town.
3. Has the Town conducted a review of the operation of properties that have been approved for residential building short term accommodation to assess the impact on adjoining property owners?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that the typical

practice with new applications for short term accommodation is they are initially granted 12 month approval period. That is almost like a trial period to give the applicant the opportunity to demonstrate that they are capable of managing the property and any issues that may be associated with that. Essentially the process has been that a 12 month approval is granted, the landowner would return to Council after 12 months seeking a further extension of that time. When the Administration receives that subsequent application, it is put out to public comment again, to seek feedback from the adjoining landowners as to what their real life experience has been in the 12 months that the business has been operating, to gauge whether it has had an impact or not. A generalised statement would be fair to say that the majority of applications, where we have undertaken that process, we have not received objections from the adjoining land owners when they have been given the opportunity to comment after the initial 12 month operating period.

4. Given the proposal from the applicants is that they will be the ones that will manage the property, therefore they will be the ones implementing the management plan; how does Council intend to ensure that the applicant is following the management plan?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that part of the conditions of approval and part of the reason why they are given a 12 month approval to make sure that what is being said by the applicant is actually delivered. So a 12 month period to be reviewed and ensure that those management methods have been affected.

Item 11.3 No. 20A (Lot 4) Bishopsgate Street, Lathlain – Amendment to Development Approval for Home Occupation (Graphic Design)

Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil

Additional Information Sought:

Nil

Questions / Responses:

Cr R Potter

1. With regards to some comments received following the consultation process; how were the issues of parking and noise addressed by the Town?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that the objection that was received relates largely to the adjoining land owner's views on parking and noise associated with the use it's been operating. The Administration has received a number of complaints from this same adjoining land owner over the time that this business has been operating. On each occasion the Town's Planning and Compliance officer has been out to observe and investigate what has been occurring and form his own views as to whether any breaches of the approval have been occurring. The conclusion has been that there have been no breaches. As the Town moves forward with this application, as with the last application, there are

appropriate conditions relating to the matters of parking and noise. On page 48, there is reference to the approval of 13 December 2016, containing condition 2 and 6, both conditions are intended to be imposed again if this application was to be approved by Council. With home occupations, there is the power for Council to revoke an approval for home occupation at any time if the Council was of the view that the use was causing a nuisance. The Administration is happy that the conditions put forward are adequate, however, if that proves not to be the case and if at some point are convinced that the conditions are not being met, then there is that power for Council to revoke the approval.

Item 11.5 Application for Subdivision Approval – Lot 9506 Victoria Park Drive, Burswood

Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil

Additional Information Sought:

Nil

Questions / Responses:

Cr Iffe

1. With regards to the number of dwellings coming into this area and the schools that service this space being at capacity; are we going to be approving a subdivision which is going to increase the population in the area, when there are no facilities to accommodate the people?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank said his understanding is that the Western Australian Planning Commission (the WAPC) has approved the Burswood Peninsula District Structure Plan, which is a very high order document, does make provision for such facilities in the future. It is an indicative guiding document and it is anticipated that there will be a need for a facilities of that kind.

Item 11.8 Delegation to issue and withdraw Infringement Notices for Non-Compliant Swimming Pool Barriers

Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil

Additional Information Sought:

Nil

Questions / Responses:

Cr Oliver

1. With regards to risk and consequence as listed in the table, I note that it states that

should delegation not be granted, then both infringement notices will not be issued and non-compliant barriers may remain. Observing in the past regarding compliance, does the Town have the capacity to take on this role in regards to infringement and also in addressing non-compliance, considering the issue that is being dealt with and worse case scenarios?

R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that the Town doesn't have capacity amongst the current resources. The Administration did recently have a person who went and followed up on the outstanding 50 pools. Mr Cruickshank understands he was able to go to each of the sites within two (2) days, so was sure the Town would be able to get some assistance to follow through with that.

2. Recognising it is an operational issue, would a target of 0% non-compliance be the main outcome for us, if we were to give the delegated authority to the Administration?

R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank said absolutely, this is one of those non-negotiable discussions as it relates to pool safety.

Item 12.1 Petition to Install Traffic Calming Devices in State Street, Victoria Park

Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil

Additional Information Sought:

Nil

Questions / Responses:

Cr Ife

1. Do we have any evidence beyond anecdotal evidence provided in the report, that the average speed people are driving on State Street and can we get some evidence of that?

R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew advised that the Town's engineers use a system which is a risk management tool. They measure a whole range of things including the number of crashes and speed. So the assessment is based on those factors.

Cr Vernon

1. With regards to the proposed parking restrictions on the road and verge to increase safety for pedestrians using the park, is a short term solution; is there a proposal to have an information session for the public, information available or any form of consultation of the residents who have formed this petition, before implementing such short term solutions?

R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said he wasn't aware of any community consultation session and probably a worthy outcome, but would take the question on notice and provide a response.

Cr Ife

1. With regards to the park and the playground, the petition is asking for the traffic to be slowed down and we're proposing to put in a median strip and changing parking; is doing that going to change the speed of the traffic?
- R The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said that the effect was to make it a safer zone for the children. The parking along the road obscures the view of the park, so the children, for example that are potentially walking or running onto the road you now get a bigger and greater sightline and stopping distance. Also, children crossing the road, the potential of the median or traffic island down the road would allow people to cross half the road and look the other way, and cross the other half. The intention isn't necessarily to slow traffic but to ensure there is enough sight distance between the cars and the users of the park.

Cr Oliver

1. Can the condition 2 of the recommendation include the word 'investigates' introducing parking, similar to condition 3 and can an additional condition 5 be added to carry out or engage the residents of that Street with regards to this issue and the works that may or may not happen in that street?
- R The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said yes, he didn't have a problem with either of those suggestions.

16 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

None

17 MOTION OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

None

18 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS WITHOUT NOTICE

None

19 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE

None

20 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Sam Zammit

1. Albany Highway is being dug up, weren't those pipes laid down about two (2) years ago?
- R. The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta said that section is quite old, it was further on down the strip. It has been budgeted to undertake an overlay after these

works have been completed.

2. There is roundabout at the bottom of the hill on Oats Streets, entry front and back of Oats Street and the side street is a no through road; why was it originally put there?
R. The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta said it was installed before his time. Mr Vuleta said he wasn't sure where it came from and would need to do some research in terms of how it got put in place, but suspect the council at the time thought there was an issue there and decided to put a roundabout in, possibly because there is a bit of a blind spot and the speed coming down the hill to the roundabout, was of some concern and they want to slow traffic down as they were coming towards the railway crossing. Mr Vuleta thinks it was about 20years and but will have to go and have a look at the report.
3. Bus stops – there is one just at the corner of Alvah Street, it is appreciated, but they are not being maintained, they are filthy; is there a policy that its Council's responsibility to clean it?
R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew advised it was his understanding that it was the Town's responsibility. The Town does maintenance inspections, but will follow up about the cleaning. Mr Killigrew took that part of the question on notice and will advise Mr Zammit.

Vince Maxwell

1. What benefit will ratepayers see by having an electronic voting system installed in the Council Chambers?
R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan took the question on notice but added the reason it was done was so that the gallery could see what was going on
2. Would Councillors consider contributing some of their salary to the cost of this system, say 3%?
R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan said no.
3. Page 43 - employee remuneration – the figures shown in the Annual Report are grossly understated; why isn't the true cost of salary entitlements shown?
R. The Director Business Life Program, Mr Nathan Cain said the requirement to report is on take home salary not the package. Those figures accurately reflect that.
4. In the table it shows that one (1) director's salary dropped in 2016/17, is that true?
R. The Director Business Life Program, Mr Nathan Cain there is no indication on who earns what in that table, so not sure where Mr Maxwell has that information from.
5. In the current report it is shows only three (3) people at \$170,000 and the next one is \$40,000 less?
R. The Director Business Life Program, Mr Nathan Cain said at the time of reporting, there were only three (3) directors in the organisation. The statement for report purposes, those people that are employed, as at 30 June, entitled to that amount of money. I think Mr Maxwell is referring to ex-director Bow, who at that time was not employed by the Town, therefore, there were only three (3) directors at that time.
6. Can the Director provide me with the document he is getting that information from?

- R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan took the question on notice.
7. In the accounts today, that you will be approving next week, there is a payment to JB Hi Fi for \$62,828; what was that for?
- R. The Director Business Life Program, Mr Nathan Cain took the question on notice.

21 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

Vince Maxwell

Mr Maxwell made a statement regarding Item 12.1 and referred to the suggestion car parking in State Street wouldn't be very popular as most cars belong to the residents.

22 MEETING CLOSED TO PUBLIC

22.1 Matters for Which the Meeting May be Closed

22.2 Public Reading of Resolutions That May be Made Public

23 CLOSURE

There being no further business, Mayor Vaughan closed the meeting at 7:24pm.