

Appendix 1 – Public Submissions Received.

For:	I have no objections to the change a great club also great members who are very considerate of residents & keep the grounds clean every time they play.
Against	Would you like to live next door to what is basically an open air pub? Would you like to have your children play in a playground meters away from a venue full of men getting drunk? Would you like to find cans and bottles strewn all along your street and have to pick them up? Would you like your small children (as our are) having to listen to large groups of aggressive men screaming the F word and the C word every time there is a football match being payed? This gets worse with drink on board.
	Could you please check your compliant log, and that of the Dept. Gaming and Licensing for noncompliance under the currently held licence by the Perth Royals Football Club? May I suggest that any record of noncompliance would indicate inadequate self regulation on the part of the Club and thus suggest that renewal and particularly extension of trading is not warranted. If longer trading hours than those currently allowed are required by the Club for fund raising activities, the Club should apply for one-off trading licenses for these activities. The Football club is a sporting organisation, I believe the current terms of the License held is adequate. The Police Department has been responsive to calls for afterhours disturbances in the Lee Reserve. While these disturbances have not necessarily been caused by the Club members, licensed longer periods of drinking time, will increase the numbers gathered which in turn would increase the risk of such disturbances occurring, causing strain on Police services. In considering this application, the Council should perhaps also take into account the license terms for the Perth Football Club in Bishopsgate Street. The overall risks of selling alcohol to members of two sporting organisations within a 500m radius should be considered as a “package” for home owners and residents of Lathlain. May I request that after the application has been considered and decided that the Council write again to Owners and Occupiers informing us of its final decision and advising us of the proper processes for registering occasions of non compliance

Dear Mr Mayor, Deputy Mayor and Councillors,

Shortly you will be asked to take a vote concerning the granting of an Extended Trading Permit for the Perth Royals Football (soccer) Club.

Our joint notice of opposition has already been lodged with the Town's Principal Environmental Health Officer so this email is likely to pre-empt his final report to you. My apologies if it has.

I am emailing you all now as I was told it is permissible to lobby the Council Members about a matter such as this.

I am speaking for 15 residents who are within the 60 metre radius criteria set out in the Town's Health Policy HLTH3 for matters such as this. A 16th person joined our opposition but the nature of their employment precluded them from being able to sign the notice. Three residents outside of the 60 metre radius voiced their opinions via anonymous notes left in my letterbox.

All three notes were derogatory and made personal attacks on my character. One in particular was quite vicious and insulting. All three heavily "hung their hats" on being long-term residents during these attacks on me. I would say nothing but if they are going to boast of residency and local knowledge then, I have to add my comments. I am 71 years old and began living in Midgley Street when I was 4 years of age. We were WW2 refugees and initially lived in a caravan until we could afford to start building a house. The reserve and land on the opposite side of Midgley Street was all still bush.

It is true to say that I have lived here for much of my life and know well the history and nature of this location. What was written in these notes, when coupled with local knowledge, easily identifies the authors of the notes as being those from further along Midgley Street, outside of the 60 metre radius, who have a vested interest in the Club as current and former office holders. I could easily put names on the notes but it is not needed.

In the interest of peace I will not be doing anything with the notes or the contents.

I would encourage you each, if at all possible, to please visit the building that the Club uses to see for yourselves how close it is to the affected residents within the 60 metre radius and how unsuitable it is for a licenced venue, especially in the evening into the night.

In case this is not possible I have attached some photographs showing how open the building frontage is to the reserve. How close it is to; and also how open the ground, is to those who are most affected by the

	Club's activities and how close the children's playground is to the building. We are not at all opposed to the club being licenced and value the sporting contribution to the area as well as the colour it brings on match days. We are however opposed to the club be able to use its privilege of being licenced over our common-law right to quiet and peaceful enjoyment, especially in the evening into the night. And, we are very strongly opposed to any increase of this capability through an extension of the club being able to trade for more hours and months of the year. As the hours and months currently stand the Club and Town could easily take initiatives to mitigate the Club's existing noise and behaviour issues. Some suggestion that could be initiated and/or be enforced, if necessary, using the Town's Terms of Hire rules for its buildings. • Any club activity after 6.30pm could be held only inside the building itself. • Members attending for evening into night time activity could park their cars on the verges opposite 47, 51 and 53 Midgley Street (these being club office holders and the like). • Quickly wind up activities and the end of its existing licence hours. • Institute a written code of conduct regarding noise etc so as to not cause undue noise and disturbance to nearby residents. • Notify nearby residents by letter drop of any upcoming special function. • Define the boundary for drinking etc. • Fully enclose to the roofline the open parts of the covered area on the Midgley and Streatley Roads sides. This would mitigate noise and also protect any children using the play area from alcohol and smoking activity. Fairness and equity is all we are expecting but if there is to be any favouring in this matter then as residents and not visitors to the area we asking for this favour.
	We do not support the application for the extended trading hours for the Perth Royals. I believe that most of the saga below (for which I am still awaiting a response) is because of the way the soccer people behave in our street. From parking illegally to leaving cars abandoned when drunk. Their actions have now directly affected our day to day amenity and giving them more time to drink will not be helping us.

TOWN OF VICTORIA PARK

To Whom It May Concern
 Shire/Council Offices
 Victoria Park

File No.

LAW/6/0001-05

Ref No.

BLP

CLP

FLBLP

FIN

RAT

☐

17 AUG 2018

RAN

EH

PLN

BLD

DOC No.

RLP

CEO

HR

NE

I found this letter in my post-box a few days ago & screwed it up thinking it was "junk". However I was approached by a resident who asked if I was going to sign a petition about the Liquor Licence times at the Royals Soccer Club. I then retrieved the letter which I have attached together with a copy of my reply.

I apologise for not replying to your letter regarding this matter & wish to state I have no objections whatsoever, nor have I

In the 17 years living on Midglap St had any problems of any sort from the Club rooms or the members. Yes, there is the odd occasion when one hears loud voices & laughter but the soccer season is only for a few months & being cold & wet I very rarely *

-2-

sit outside of an evening during training
nights to hear any noise. On weekends I
look forward to having people around & any
noise is welcomed.

I therefore have no objections to the
house of use of the Club being extended.

I certainly object to this residents
requesting other residents to sign such
a trivial petition & have suggested they
erect a thick bush/fence or move from the
area of a Sporting Oval.

Perth Royals Football Club Extended Liquor Trading Permit Application
Privileged Document

Dear Neighbourhood Residents,

The Shire tells us that all houses surrounding the reserve have received a letter asking for comments about the club being allowed to extend its liquor licence to until 10 pm on Tuesday, Wednesday and Sunday during the hotter summer months of January until March each year and as of last Friday had received very few responses hence our letter asking for your support.

We are told that the Club's licence (for up to 90 people) currently runs from 6.30pm until 8pm on Tuesday and Wednesday and between 11.30am until 5pm on Sunday for these months

If the club gets an extension it will effectively mean that the club can then consume liquor and potentially start to have, after training and on match days, longer drinking times and also hold any other functions they may want to have up until 10pm on 3 nights of the week for nine months of the year.

For Wednesdays and Thursday they are seeking to start trading at 6.30pm until 10pm and on Sundays, from 11.30am right through until 10pm. This does not include the usual last drinks time.

On Sundays it will mean the club will then be able to sell and consume alcohol for 10.5 hours on 40 out of 52 Sundays of the year. This is effectively the whole day right from the late morning, through the afternoon and late into the night and leaves just 12 Sundays out of the 52 of the whole year free of any alcohol related activity on the public reserve.

The club originally applied for a liquor licence in April of 2016 and residents were given an opportunity to comment on it back then.

We live on Midgley Street around 50 metres directly across open ground from the club to our house. We were concerned about what this would mean for us not only personally, with regard to losing our peace and quiet, but also from a property location and value/resale point of view.

We wanted to be fair and reasonable so instead of opposing the application we visited one of the licence applicants (who lives in Midgley St) asking him what the club was trying to achieve.

He told us that a licence was being sought in order "to stop people from bringing their own drinks and from drinking all around the reserve," he also told us that, "it would only be used to have a few quiet beers after training and on match days and once a year for a quiz night to help raise funds."

We discussed with him our concerns about noise and possible behaviour issues that might come out of the club being licenced and he gave us assurances that any drinking would "only be allowed inside the building." He also gave us his mobile number agreeing that "if there is ever any noise or other problems just call me and I will fix it"

We took him at his word and on the basis of his assurances we did not oppose the clubs application.

The licence was granted and since that time we have experienced many evenings of noisy, rowdy and boisterous behaviours going on late into the night with lots of shouting, swearing and crashing of bottles being tossed into bins. Incidents like this happen mostly during the longer days of the summer months but also at times and to a much lesser degree in the cooler months.

Drinking is always outside the building with the people sitting or standing around in groups. And, apart from the noise and rowdy behaviours on two occasions when things were really getting out of hand the licensee has been called. Once he said he would go across and stop what was happening

